

Message

---

**From:** Spreng - CDPHE, Carl [carl.spreng@state.co.us]  
**Sent:** 2/15/2017 11:44:03 PM  
**To:** Moritz, Vera [Moritz.Vera@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** Re: FW: February 12, 2017 email - question re Rocky Flats 5YR  
**Attachments:** removed.txt

That's sounds fine. If he needs further clarification regarding Lindsay's email - its intent goes to issues outside of the 5YR process.

**Carl Spreng**  
Corrective Action Unit



**COLORADO**  
Hazardous Materials  
& Waste Management Division  
Department of Public Health & Environment

P 303.692.3358 | F 303.759.5355 | C 303-328-7289  
4300 Cherry Creek Drive S, Denver, CO 80246-1530  
[carl.spreng@state.co.us](mailto:carl.spreng@state.co.us) |

On Wed, Feb 15, 2017 at 4:40 PM, Moritz, Vera <[Moritz.Vera@epa.gov](mailto:Moritz.Vera@epa.gov)> wrote:

DRAFT DRAFT DRAFT

David – to clarify, in regards to 5 year reviews, the agencies discuss process and scope only. -- Vera

---

**From:** David Abelson [mailto:[dabelson@rockyflatssc.org](mailto:dabelson@rockyflatssc.org)]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2017 3:34 PM  
**To:** Masters - CDPHE, Lindsay <[lindsay.masters@state.co.us](mailto:lindsay.masters@state.co.us)>  
**Cc:** Moritz, Vera <[Moritz.Vera@epa.gov](mailto:Moritz.Vera@epa.gov)>; Carl Spreng <[carl.spreng@state.co.us](mailto:carl.spreng@state.co.us)>  
**Subject:** Re: February 12, 2017 email - question re Rocky Flats 5YR

My question goes to what Lisa Morzel said at the end of our February meeting. I was unsure what the parameters of the last paragraph in the initial email.

David

(303) 859-1807

On Feb 15, 2017, at 5:30 PM, Masters - CDPHE, Lindsay <[lindsay.masters@state.co.us](mailto:lindsay.masters@state.co.us)> wrote:

David,

I apologize if it was unclear in the email, but - as always - we are happy to discuss substantive issues with community members.

Do you have a particular concern?

Thank you,

Lindsay

**Lindsay Masters**  
Environmental Protection Specialist

Colorado Department of Public Health & Environment



P 303.692.3310  
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South

Denver, CO 80246-1530

On Wed, Feb 15, 2017 at 3:07 PM, David Abelson <[dabelson@rockyflatssc.org](mailto:dabelson@rockyflatssc.org)> wrote:

Thanks Lindsay, Carl and Vera.

Does that mean the agencies can discuss the substance of the government/community concerns without discussing any potential conclusions?

David

(303) 859-1807

On Feb 15, 2017, at 3:06 PM, Masters - CDPHE, Lindsay <[lindsay.masters@state.co.us](mailto:lindsay.masters@state.co.us)> wrote:

David,

Thank you for your email. You requested EPA and CDPHE review their 2012 Five-Year Review public engagement activities. Please find our brief review of EPA and CDPHE's 2012 public engagement activities below, as requested. EPA and CDPHE RFLMA coordinators (Vera/Carl) are providing a joint answer to your question about the Five-year Review process.

On February 13, 2012, the State and EPA RFLMA coordinators (Carl and Vera) met with staff from local municipal governments to discuss the Five-Year Review process and scope. In preparation for that meeting, EPA and CDPHE received questions via email. We answered questions about the 2012 Five-Year Review process and scope as well as Rocky Flats questions that were outside the scope of the Five-Year Review. *See* 2012 Five-Year Review, Appendix E, Public Participation Summary, table providing summary of questions/answers, Feb. 13, 2012 meeting.

Questions pertinent to the process and scope included:

- "...will the Rocky Flats AMP sampling be discussed? If yes, can the review include the purpose and evaluation of AMP results in subsequent Five-Year reviews?"
- "...will EPA ask for a careful watch of water quality at the reportable condition locations and will the water quality be addressed in issues, recommendations, and follow-up actions?"

During the 2012 meeting with local governments, EPA and CDPHE also answered questions about maintenance and operations that were outside the scope of the Five-Year Review. (*see* Appendix E, Public Participation Summary). For example, the response to the last question on page 2 describes the OLF regulatory process. In addition, a question about potential on dam breaching was asked; this was a RFLMA question, not a Five-Year Review question.

The position of the regulatory agencies is that we are happy to talk to anyone about regulatory process and scope any time. However, we cannot discuss any conclusions of the Five-Year Review as the Review process is ongoing. Conclusions will be presented in the Five-Year Review.

With kind regards,

**Lindsay Masters**  
Environmental Protection Specialist

Colorado Department of Public Health & Environment



P 303.692.3310  
4300 Cherry Creek Drive South

Denver, CO 80246-1530